APPENDIX C

APPENDIX C

C-1 NRCS Type II 24-Hour Rainfall Distribution (Graphic & Tabular)

C-2 Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves

C-3 Runoff Curve Numbers and Percent Imperviousness Values

C-4 Runoff Coefficients for the Rational Method

C-5 Manning 'n' Values

NRCS TYPE II RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION

INTENSITY–DURATION–FREQUENCY CURVES*





*Source:Pennsylvania Dept. of Transp. Design Rainfall Curves (1986).

RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS AND PERCENT IMPERVIOUSNESS VALUES*

Cover Description		Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group**			
Land Use/Cover Type	Average percent impervious area	A	B	C	D
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.):					
Good condition (grass cover greater than 75%)		39	61	74	80
Impervious areas:					
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. (excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Streets and roads:					
Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Paved; open ditches (including right-of-way)		83	89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)		76	85	89	91
Urban districts:					
Commercial and business.....	85	89	92	94	95
Industrial.....	72	81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:					
c acre or less (townhouses)65	77	85	90	92	
¼ acre	38	61	75	83	87
a acre.....	30	57	72	81	86
½ acre	25	54	70	80	85
1 acre	20	51	68	79	84
2 acres.....	12	46	65	77	82
Woods		30	55	70	77
Agriculture		Refer to Table 2-2b in source document (TR55) by crop type and treatment.			

*Source: Natural Resources Conservation Service Technical Release No. 55, Second Edition, June 1986.

**Hydrologic Soil Group based on the County Soil Survey latest edition.

RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS FOR THE RATIONAL METHOD* HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP AND SLOPE RANGE**												
	A			B			C			D		
LAND USE	0-2%	2-6%	6%+	0-2%	2-6%	6%+	0-2%	2-6%	6%+	0-2%	2-6%	6%+
Cultivated[A]	[a]0.18 [b]0.23	0.23 0.29	0.28 0.34	0.24 0.30	0.29 0.36	0.33 0.40	0.30 0.36	0.34 0.41	0.38 0.45	0.33 0.39	0.37 0.44	0.41 0.48
Pasture[B]	0.09 0.12	0.13 0.17	0.17 0.23	0.19 0.24	0.24 0.30	0.29 0.36	0.27 0.33	0.31 0.38	0.36 0.43	0.31 0.37	0.35 0.42	0.39 0.46
Meadow, Lawn[C]	0.05 0.07	0.08 0.12	0.12 0.17	0.15 0.19	0.20 0.25	0.24 0.30	0.23 0.28	0.28 0.34	0.32 0.39	0.28 0.33	0.32 0.39	0.36 0.43
Forest, Woods	0.03 0.04	0.05 0.08	0.08 0.12	0.11 0.15	0.16 0.21	0.20 0.26	0.20 0.25	0.25 0.31	0.29 0.36	0.25 0.31	0.30 0.37	0.34 0.41
Gravel	0.24 0.30	0.29 0.36	0.33 0.40	0.32 0.38	0.36 0.43	0.40 0.47	0.35 0.42	0.39 0.46	0.43 0.50	0.37 0.44	0.41 0.48	0.44 0.51
Parking, Other Impervious	0.85 0.95	0.86 0.96	0.87 0.97	0.85 0.95	0.86 0.96	0.87 0.97	0.85 0.95	0.86 0.96	0.87 0.97	0.85 0.95	0.86 0.96	0.87 0.97
Residential, Commercial, Industrial and Other "Developed"	Runoff coefficients should be calculated based upon weighted average of impervious area coefficients and pervious area coefficients from above based upon soil type, slope and the particular development proposal.											

*Coefficients for all land uses except parking and other impervious cover are based on the Rossmiller Equation for translating NRCS curve numbers into Rational Method 'c' values. The source for the parking and other impervious cover coefficients is RAWLS, W.J., S.L. WONG and R.H. McCUEN, 1981. Comparison of urban flood frequency procedures. Preliminary draft report prepared for the Soil Conservation Service, Beltsville, MD.

**Hydrologic Soil Group based on the county soil survey latest edition.

a – Runoff coefficients for storm recurrence intervals less than 25 years.

b – Runoff coefficients for storm recurrence intervals of 25 years or more.

[A]Represents average of cultivated land with and without conservation treatment from TR-55, January 1975. These values are consistent with several categories of cultivated lands from TR-55, June 1986.

[B]Represents grasslands in fair condition with 50% to 75% grass cover.

[C]Represents grasslands in good condition with greater than 75% grass cover.

MANNING 'n' VALUES BY TYPICAL REACH DESCRIPTION

Reach Description	Manning 'n'
Natural stream, clean, straight, no rifts Or pools	0.030
Natural stream, clean, winding, some pools And shoals	0.040
Natural stream, winding, pools, shoals, Stony with some weeds	0.050
Natural stream, sluggish with deep pools And weeds	0.070
Natural stream or swale, very weedy or With timber under brush	0.100
Concrete pipe, culvert or channel	0.012
Corrugated metal pipe	0.012-0.027*

*Depending upon type and diameter.

ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENTS (MANNING 'n') FOR SHEET FLOW

Surface Description	Manning 'n'[1]
Smooth surfaces (concrete, asphalt, gravel, or bare soil)	0.011
Fallow (no residue)	0.050
Cultivated soils:	
Residue cover <= 20%	0.060
Residue cover > 20%	0.170
Grass:	
Short grass prairie	0.150
Dense grasses[2]	0.240
Bermuda grass	0.410
Range (natural)	0.130
Woods:[3]	
Light underbrush	0.400
Dense underbrush	0.800

[1]The n values are a composite of information compiled by Engman (1986).
[2]Includes species such as weeping lovegrass, bluegrass, buffalo grass, blue grama grass and native grass mixtures.
[3]When selecting n, consider cover to a height of about 0.1 ft. this is the only part of the plant cover that will obstruct sheet flow.

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX D

Recommendation Chart for Infiltration Stormwater Management BMPs in Carbonate Bedrock*

SITE RISK FACTORS	Geology Type	CARBONATE BEDROCK																													
	Effective Soil Thickness	Less than 2 Feet	2 to 4 Feet									Over 4 Feet to 8 Feet									Over 8 Feet										
	Special Geologic Features**	Low/Med/High Buffer	Low Buffer			Medium Buffer			High Buffer			Low Buffer			Medium Buffer			High Buffer			Low Buffer			Medium Buffer			High Buffer			
SITE INVESTIGATION RECOMMENDED		(Unacceptable)	Preliminary			Preliminary			Preliminary			Preliminary			Preliminary			Preliminary			Preliminary			Preliminary			Preliminary			
DESIGN FACTORS	Infiltration Loading Rates (% Increase) ***	(Unacceptable)	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%	0-100%	100-300%	300 - 500%		
PROGRAM SUMMARY GUIDANCE ****						1	1				1	2								1	2					1					

RECOMMENDED

NOT RECOMMENDED

* Source: Developed by Cahill Associates based on information in "Technical Best Management Practice Manual & Infiltration Feasibility Report", November 2002 and input from the LVPC, 2003.

** Special Geologic Feature Buffer widths are as follows:

Low Buffer is less than 50 feet
Medium Buffer is 50 feet to 100 feet
High Buffer is greater than 100 feet

*** Rates greater than 500% not recommended.

**** Assumes adequately permeable soils and lack of natural constraints as required for all infiltration systems.

1 Infiltration systems may be allowed at the determination of the Engineer and/or Geologist, provided that a Detailed Site Investigation is undertaken which confirms nature of rock, location of Special Geologic Features, and adequacy of the buffer between the SGF and the proposed stormwater system(s).

2 In these Special Geologic Features: Low Buffer situations, infiltration systems may be allowed at the determination of the Engineer and/or Geologist, provided that a Detailed Site Investigation is undertaken and a 25 foot buffer from SGFs is maintained.

APPENDIX E

STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 200__, by and between _____, (hereinafter the "Landowner"), and the Township of Bethlehem, County of Northampton, and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (hereinafter "Township");

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Landowner is the owner of certain real property as recorded by deed in the land records of Northampton County, Pennsylvania, Deed Book _____ at Page _____, (hereinafter "Property"); and.

WHEREAS, the Landowner is proceeding to build and develop the Property; and

WHEREAS, the stormwater management BMP Operations and Maintenance Plan approved by the Township (hereinafter referred to as the "Plan") for the property identified herein, which is attached hereto as Appendix A and made part hereof, as approved by the Township, provides for management of stormwater within the confines of the Property through the use of Best Management Practices (BMP's); and

WHEREAS, the Township, and the Landowner, his successors and assigns, agree that the health, safety, and welfare of the residents of the Township and the protection and maintenance of water quality require that on-site stormwater Best Management Practices be constructed and maintained on the Property; and

WHEREAS, for the purposes of this agreement, the following definitions shall apply:

BMP – "Best Management Practice;" activities, facilities, designs, measures or procedures used to manage stormwater impacts from land development, to protect and maintain water quality and groundwater recharge and to otherwise meet the purposes of the Municipal Stormwater Management Ordinance, including but not limited to infiltration trenches, seepage pits, filter strips, bioretention, wet ponds, permeable paving, rain gardens, grassed swales, forested buffers, sand filters and detention basins.

Infiltration Trench – A BMP surface structure designed, constructed, and maintained for the purpose of providing infiltration or recharge of stormwater into the soil and/or groundwater aquifer.

Seepage Pit – An underground BMP structure designed, constructed, and maintained for the purpose of providing infiltration or recharge of stormwater into the soil and/or groundwater aquifer.
Rain Garden – A BMP overlain with appropriate mulch and suitable vegetation designed, constructed, and maintained for the purpose of providing infiltration or recharge of stormwater into the soil and/or

underground aquifer.

; and

WHEREAS, the Township requires, through the implementation of the Plan, that stormwater management BMPs as required by said Plan and the Municipal Stormwater Management Ordinance be constructed and adequately operated and maintained by the Landowner, his successors and assigns, and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing promises, the mutual covenants contained herein, and the following terms and conditions, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. The BMPs shall be constructed by the Landowner in accordance with the plans and specifications identified in the Plan.

2. The Landowner shall operate and maintain the BMP(s) as shown on the Plan in good working order acceptable to the Township and in accordance with the specific maintenance requirements noted on the Plan.

3. The Landowner hereby grants permission to the Township, its authorized agents and employees, to enter upon the property, at reasonable times and upon presentation of proper identification, to inspect the BMP(s) whenever it deems necessary. Whenever possible, the Township shall notify the Landowner prior to entering the property.

4. In the event the Landowner fails to operate and maintain the BMP(s) as shown on the Plan in good working order acceptable to the Township, the Township or its representatives may enter upon the Property and take whatever action is deemed necessary to maintain said BMP(s). This provision shall not be construed to allow the Township to erect any permanent structure on the land of the Landowner. It is expressly understood and agreed that the Township is under no obligation to maintain or repair said facilities, and in no event shall this Agreement be construed to impose any such obligation on the Township.

5. In the event the Township, pursuant to this Agreement, performs work of any nature, or expends any funds in performance of said work for labor, use of equipment, supplies, materials, and the like, the Landowner shall reimburse the Township for all expenses (direct and indirect) incurred within 10 days of receipt of invoice from the Township and if not timely paid, a municipal lien shall be placed upon the premises for 110% of the invoice amount, plus statutorily allowed fees, expenses and costs.

6. The intent and purpose of this Agreement is to ensure the proper maintenance of the onsite BMP(s) by the Landowner; provided, however, that this Agreement shall not be deemed to create or effect any additional liability of any party for damage alleged to result from or be caused by stormwater runoff.

7. The Landowner, its executors, administrators, assigns, and other successors in interests,

hereby release and hold harmless the Township's employees and designated representatives from all damages, accidents, casualties, occurrences or claims which might arise or be asserted against said employees and representatives from the construction, presence, existence, or maintenance of the BMP(s) by the Landowner or Township. In the event that a claim is asserted against the Township, its designated representatives or employees, the Township shall promptly notify the Landowner and the Landowner shall defend, at his own expense, any suit based on the claim. If any judgment or claims against the Township's employees or designated representatives shall be allowed, the Landowner shall pay all costs and expenses regarding said judgment or claim.

8. The Township shall inspect the BMP(s) as necessary to ensure their continued functioning.

This Agreement shall be recorded at the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Northampton County, Pennsylvania, and shall constitute a covenant running with the Property and/or equitable servitude, and shall be binding on the Landowner, his administrators, executors, assigns, heirs and any other successors in interests, in perpetuity.

ATTEST:

_____ _____ (SEAL)

President

ATTEST: TOWNSHIP OF BETHLEHEM

_____ _____ (SEAL)

Manager/Secretary President
Board of Commissioners

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON)

On this, the ____ day of _____, 2007, before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared _____, who acknowledged himself to be the President of _____, a Pennsylvania business corporation, and that he as such President, being authorized to do so, executed the same for the purposes therein contained by signing the name of the corporation by himself as President.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and notarial seal.

_____

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON)

On this, the ____ day of _____, 200__, before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared _____, who acknowledged himself to be the President of the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Bethlehem, a municipal corporation organized and existing as a township of the first class, and that he as such President, being authorized to do so, executed the same for the purposes therein contained by signing the name of the Township of Bethlehem by himself as President of the Board of Commissioners.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and notarial seal.

_____

APPENDIX F

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT PRACTICES

ALTERNATIVE APPROACH FOR MANAGING STORMWATER RUNOFF

Natural hydrologic conditions may be altered radically by poorly planned development practices, such as introducing unneeded impervious surfaces, destroying existing drainage swales, constructing unnecessary storm sewers, and changing local topography. A traditional drainage approach of development has been to remove runoff from a site as quickly as possible and capture it in a detention basin. This approach may lead ultimately to the degradation of water quality as well as expenditure of additional resources for detaining and managing concentrated runoff at some downstream location.

The recommended alternative approach is to promote practices that will minimize post-development runoff rates and volumes, which will minimize needs for artificial conveyance and storage facilities. To simulate pre-development hydrologic conditions, forced infiltration is often necessary to offset the loss of infiltration by creation of impervious surfaces. The ability of the ground to infiltrate depends upon the soil types and its conditions.

Preserving natural hydrologic conditions requires careful alternative site design considerations. Site design practices include preserving natural drainage features, minimizing impervious surface area, reducing the hydraulic connectivity of impervious surfaces, and protecting natural depression storage. A well-designed site will contain a mix of all those features. The following describes various techniques to achieve the alternative approach:

- **Preserving Natural Drainage Features.** Protecting natural drainage features, particularly vegetated drainage swales and channels, is desirable because of their ability to infiltrate and attenuate flows and to filter pollutants. However, this objective is often not accomplished in land development. In fact, commonly held drainage philosophy encourages just the opposite pattern -- streets and adjacent storm sewers typically are located in the natural headwater valleys and swales, thereby replacing natural drainage functions with a completely impervious system. As a result, runoff and pollutants generated from impervious surfaces flow directly into storm sewers with no opportunity for attenuation, infiltration, or filtration. Developments designed to fit site topography also minimizes the amount of grading on site.

- **Protecting Natural Depression Storage Areas.** Depression storage areas have no surface outlet, or drain very slowly following a storm event. They can be commonly seen as ponded areas in farm fields during the wet season or after large runoff events. Traditional development practices eliminate these depressions by filling or draining, thereby obliterating their ability to reduce surface runoff volumes and trap pollutants. The volume and release-rate characteristics of depressions should be protected in the design of the development site. The depressions can be protected by simply avoiding the depression or by incorporating its storage as additional capacity in required detention

facilities.

- **Avoiding Introduction of Impervious Areas.** Careful site planning should consider reducing impervious coverage to the maximum extent possible. Building footprints, sidewalks, driveways and other features producing impervious surfaces should be evaluated to minimize impacts on runoff.

- **Reducing the Hydraulic Connectivity of Impervious Surfaces.** Impervious surfaces are significantly less of a problem if they are not directly connected to an impervious conveyance system (such as storm sewer). Two basic ways to reduce hydraulic connectivity are routing of roof runoff over lawns and reducing the use of storm sewers. Site grading should promote increasing travel time of stormwater runoff, and should help reduce concentration of runoff to a single point in the development.

- **Routing Roof Runoff Over Lawns.** Roof runoff can be easily routed over lawns in most site designs. The practice discourages direct connections of downspouts to storm sewers or parking lots. The practice also discourages sloping driveways and parking lots to the street. By routing roof drains and crowning the driveway to run off to the lawn, the lawn is essentially used as a filter strip.

- **Reducing the Use of Storm Sewers.** By reducing use of storm sewers for draining streets, parking lots, and back yards, the potential for accelerating runoff from the development can be greatly reduced. The practice requires greater use of swales and may not be practical for some development sites, especially if there are concerns for areas that do not drain in a "reasonable" time. The practice requires educating local citizens and public works officials, who expect runoff to disappear shortly after a rainfall event.

- **Reducing Street Widths.** Street widths can be reduced by either eliminating on-street parking or by reducing roadway widths. Municipal planners and traffic designers should encourage narrower neighborhood streets which ultimately could lower maintenance.

- **Limiting Sidewalks to One Side of the Street.** A sidewalk on one side of the street may suffice in low-traffic neighborhoods. The lost sidewalk could be replaced with bicycle/recreational trails that follow back-of-lot lines. Where appropriate, backyard trails should be constructed using pervious materials.

- **Using Permeable Paving Materials.** These materials include permeable interlocking concrete paving blocks or porous bituminous concrete. Such materials should be considered as alternatives to conventional pavement surfaces, especially for low use surfaces such as driveways, overflow parking lots, and emergency access roads.

- **Reducing Building Setbacks.** Reducing building setbacks reduces driveway and entry walks and is most readily accomplished along low-traffic streets where traffic noise is not a problem.

- **Constructing Cluster Developments**. Cluster developments can also reduce the amount of impervious area for a given number of lots. The biggest savings is in street length, which also will reduce costs of the development. Cluster development clusters the construction activity onto less-sensitive areas without substantially affecting the gross density of development.